केंद्रीय कर आयुक्त (अपील)

O/O THE COMMISSIONER (APPEALS), CENTRAL TAX,

सत्यमेव जयते

केंद्रीय कर भवन,
सातवीं मंजिल, पोलिटेकनिक के पास,
आम्बावाडी, अहमदाबाद-380015

7th Floor, GST Building,
Near Polytechnic,
Ambavadi, Ahmedabad-380015

☎: 079-26305065

टेलेफैक्स : 079 - 26305136

रजिस्टर्ड डाक ए.डी. द्वारा

क फाइल संख्या : File No : V2(38)123/Ahd-South/2018-19 /9182-86
Stay Appl.No. /2018-19

ख अपील आदेश संख्या Order-In-Appeal Nos. **AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-0139-2018-19**
दिनाँक Date : **10-01-2019** जारी करने की तारीख Date of Issue 16/01/2019

श्री उमा शंकर आयुक्त (अपील) द्वारा पारित
Passed by Shri. Uma Shanker, Commissioner (Appeals)

ग Arising out of Order-in-Original No. **CGST/Div-VI/09/MSK/17-18** दिनाँक: **29.03.2018** issued by Assistant Commissioner, Div-VI, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South

ध अपीलकर्ता का नाम एवं पता Name & Address of the Appellant / Respondent
Santosh Rajpal(Khurana Engg)
Ahmedabad

कोई व्यक्ति इस अपील आदेश से असंतोष अनुभव करता है तो वह इस आदेश के प्रति यथास्थिति नीचे बताए गए सक्षम अधिकारी को अपील या पुनरीक्षण आवेदन प्रस्तुत कर सकता है।

Any person aggrieved by this Order-In-Appeal may file an appeal or revision application, as the one may be against such order, to the appropriate authority in the following way :

भारत सरकार का पुनरीक्षण आवेदन :
Revision application to Government of India :

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1994 की धारा अतत नीचे बताए गए मामलों के बारे में पूर्वोक्त धारा को उप–धारा के प्रथम परन्तुक के अंतर्गत पुनरीक्षण आवेदन अधीन सचिव, भारत सरकार, वित्त मंत्रालय, राजस्व विभाग, चौथी मंजिल, जीवन दीप भवन, संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली : 110001 को की जानी चाहिए।

(i) A revision application lies to the Under Secretary, to the Govt. of India, Revision Application Unit Ministry of Finance, Department of Revenue, 4th Floor, Jeevan Deep Building, Parliament Street, New Delhi - 110 001 under Section 35EE of the CEA 1944 in respect of the following case, governed by first proviso to sub-section (1) of Section-35 ibid :

(ii) यदि माल की हानि के मामले में जब ऐसी हानि कारखाने से किसी भण्डागार या अन्य कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार से दूसरे भण्डागार में माल ले जाते हुए मार्ग में, या किसी भण्डागार या भण्डार में चाहे वह किसी कारखाने में या किसी भण्डागार में हो माल की प्रकिया के दौरान हुई हो।

(ii) In case of any loss of goods where the loss occur in transit from a factory to a warehouse or to another factory or from one warehouse to another during the course of processing of the goods in a warehouse or in storage whether in a factory or in a warehouse.

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(ख) भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित माल पर या माल के विनिर्माण में उपयोग शुल्क कच्चे माल पर उत्पादन शुल्क के रिबेट के मामलें में जो भारत के बाहर किसी राष्ट्र या प्रदेश में निर्यातित है।

(b) In case of rebate of duty of excise on goods exported to any country or territory outside India of on excisable material used in the manufacture of the goods which are exported to any country or territory outside India.

(ग) यदि शुल्क का भुगतान किए बिना भारत के बाहर (नेपाल या भूटान को) निर्यात किया गया माल हो।

(c) In case of goods exported outside India export to Nepal or Bhutan, without payment of duty.

अंतिम उत्पादन की उत्पादन शुल्क के भुगतान के लिए जो डयूटी केडिट मान्य की गई है और ऐसे आदेश जो इस धारा एवं नियम के मुताबिक आयुक्त, अपील के द्वारा पारित वो समय पर या बाद में वित्त अधिनियम (नं.2) 1998 धारा 109 द्वारा नियुक्त किए गए हो।

(d) Credit of any duty allowed to be utilized towards payment of excise duty on final products under the provisions of this Act or the Rules made there under and such order is passed by the Commissioner (Appeals) on or after, the date appointed under Sec.109 of the Finance (No.2) Act, 1998.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क (अपील) नियमावली, 2001 के नियम 9 के अंतर्गत विनिर्दिष्ट प्रपत्र संख्या इए–8 में दो प्रतियों में, प्रेषित आदेश के प्रति आदेश प्रेषित दिनाँक से तीन मास के भीतर मूल–आदेश एवं अपील आदेश की दो–दो प्रतियों के साथ उचित आवेदन किया जाना चाहिए। उसके साथ खाता इ. का मुख्यशीर्ष के अंतर्गत धारा 35–इ में निर्धारित फी के भुगतान के सबूत के साथ टीआर–6 चालान की प्रति भी होनी चाहिए।

The above application shall be made in duplicate in Form No. EA-8 as specified under Rule, 9 of Central Excise (Appeals) Rules, 2001 within 3 months from the date on which the order sought to be appealed against is communicated and shall be accompanied by two copies each of the OIO and Order-In-Appeal. It should also be accompanied by a copy of TR-6 Challan evidencing payment of prescribed fee as prescribed under Section 35-EE of CEA, 1944, under Major Head of Account.

(2) रिविजन आवेदन के साथ जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख रूपये या उससे कम हो तो रूपये 200/– फीस भुगतान की जाए और जहाँ संलग्न रकम एक लाख से ज्यादा हो तो 1000/– की फीस भुगतान की जाए।

The revision application shall be accompanied by a fee of Rs.200/- where the amount involved is Rupees One Lac or less and Rs.1,000/- where the amount involved is more than Rupees One Lac.

सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण के प्रति अपीलः–
Appeal to Custom, Excise, & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal.

(1) केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क अधिनियम, 1944 की धारा 35–बी/35–इ के अंतर्गतः–

Under Section 35B/ 35E of CEA, 1944 an appeal lies to :-

(क) उक्तलिखित परिच्छेद 2 (1) क में बताए अनुसार के अलावा की अपील, अपीलो के मामले में सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट) की पश्चिम क्षेत्रीय पीठिका, अहमदाबाद में ओ–20, न्यू मैन्टल हास्पिटल कम्पाउण्ड, मेघाणी नगर, अहमदाबाद–380016

(a) To the west regional bench of Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (CESTAT) at O-20, New Metal Hospital Compound, Meghani Nagar, Ahmedabad : 380 016. in case of appeals other than as mentioned in para-2(i) (a) above.

The appeal to the Appellate Tribunal shall be filed in quadruplicate in form EA-3 as prescribed under Rule 6 of Central Excise(Appeal) Rules, 2001 and shall be accompanied against (one which at least should be accompanied by a fee of Rs.1,000/-, Rs.5,000/- and Rs.10,000/- where amount of duty / penalty / demand / refund is upto 5 Lac, 5 Lac to 50 Lac and above 50 Lac respectively in the form of crossed bank draft in favour of Asstt. Registar of a branch of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of any nominate public sector bank of the place where the bench of the Tribunal is situated.

(3) यदि इस आदेश में कई मूल आदेशों का समावेश होता है तो प्रत्येक मूल ओदश के लिए फीस का भुगतान उपर्युक्त ढंग से किया जाना चाहिए इस तथ्य के होते हुए भी कि लिखा पढी कार्य से बचने के लिए यथास्थिति अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण को एक अपील या केन्द्रीय सरकार को एक आवेदन किया जाता हैं।

In case of the order covers a number of order-in-Original, fee for each O.I.O. should be paid in the aforesaid manner not withstanding the fact that the one appeal to the Appellant Tribunal or the one application to the Central Govt. As the case may be, is filled to avoid scriptoria work if excising Rs. 1 lacs fee of Rs.100/- for each.

(4) न्यायालय शुल्क अधिनियम 1970 यथा संशोधित की अनुसूचि–1 के अंतर्गत निर्धारित किए अनुसार उक्त आवेदन या मूल आदेश यथास्थिति निर्णयन प्राधिकारी के आदेश में से प्रत्येक की एक प्रति पर रू.6.50 पैसे का न्यायालय शुल्क टिकट लगा होना चाहिए।

One copy of application or O.I.O. as the case may be, and the order of the adjournment authority shall a court fee stamp of Rs.6.50 paise as prescribed under scheduled-I item of the court fee Act, 1975 as amended.

(5) इन ओर संबंधित मामलों को नियंत्रण करने वाले नियमों की ओर भी ध्यान आकर्षित किया जाता है जो सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (कार्याविधि) नियम, 1982 में निहित है।

Attention in invited to the rules covering these and other related matter contended in the Customs, Excise & Service Tax Appellate Tribunal (Procedure) Rules, 1982.

(6) सीमा शुल्क, केन्द्रीय उत्पादन शुल्क एवं सेवाकर अपीलीय न्यायाधिकरण (सिस्टेट), के प्रति अपीलो के मामले में कर्तव्य मांग (Demand) एवं दंड (Penalty) का 10% पूर्व जमा करना अनिवार्य है। हालांकि, अधिकतम पूर्व जमा 10 करोड़ रुपए है ।(Section 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

केन्द्रीय उत्पाद शुल्क और सेवा कर के अंतर्गत, शामिल होगा "कर्तव्य की मांग"(Duty Demanded) -

(i) *(Section)* खंड 11D के तहत निर्धारित राशि;
(ii) लिया गलत सेनवैट क्रेडिट की राशि;
(iii) सेनवैट क्रेडिट नियमों के नियम 6 के तहत देय राशि.

⇨ **यह पूर्व जमा 'लंबित अपील' में पहले पूर्व जमा की तुलना में, अपील' दाखिल करने के लिए पूर्व शर्त बना दिया गया है .**

For an appeal to be filed before the CESTAT, 10% of the Duty & Penalty confirmed by the Appellate Commissioner would have to be pre-deposited, provided that the pre-deposit amount shall not exceed Rs.10 Crores. It may be noted that the pre-deposit is a mandatory condition for filing appeal before CESTAT. (Section 35 C (2A) and 35 F of the Central Excise Act, 1944, Section 83 & Section 86 of the Finance Act, 1994)

Under Central Excise and Service Tax, "Duty demanded" shall include:

(i) amount determined under Section 11 D;
(ii) amount of erroneous Cenvat Credit taken;
(iii) amount payable under Rule 6 of the Cenvat Credit Rules.

इस इस आदेश के प्रति अपील प्राधिकरण के समक्ष जहाँ शुल्क अथवा शुल्क या दण्ड विवादित हो तो माँग किए गए शुल्क के 10% भुगतान पर और जहाँ केवल दण्ड विवादित हो तब दण्ड के 10% भुगतान पर की जा सकती है।

In view of above, an appeal against this order shall lie before the Tribunal on payment of 10% of the duty demanded where duty or duty and penalty are in dispute, or penalty where penalty alone is in dispute."

ORDER-IN-APPEAL

This appeal has been filed by Shri Santosh Rajpal (hereinafter referred to as "the appellant"), General Manager of M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd., 2nd Floor, MSK House, Nr. Passport Office, Panjra Pole, Ambawadi, Ahmedabad against the Order-in-Original number CGST/Div-VI/09/MSK/17-18 dated 29.03.2018 (hereinafter referred to as "the impugned order") passed by the Assistant Commissioner, Central GST, Division-VI, Ahmedabad-South (hereinafter referred to as "the adjudicating authority").

2. Brief facts of the case are that intelligence was gathered that "Ready Mix Concrete" (hereinafter referred to as "RMC") was being manufactured by M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd. on site at AMC-EWS, 19 Project, Opp. Vishwas 10, Vaishno Devi Circle to Gota Overbridge, S. G. Highway, Ahmedabad for use in construction purpose. However, neither M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd. were registered with the Central Excise department, nor were they paying Central Excise duty, by misusing Central Excise Exemption Notification number 12/2012-CE (Sr. number 146) as amended. Thus, a team of Central Excise preventive officers visited the above mentioned site premises of M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd. and some documents were withdrawn under a regular panchnama. After completion of a thorough investigation, a show cause notice, dated 08.12.2016, was issued to M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd. which was adjudicated by the adjudicating authority. The adjudicating authority, vide the impugned order, confirmed the demand of Central Excise duty under Section 11A(4) of the Central Excise Act, 1944 on M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd. along with interest under Sections 11AA of the Central Excise Act, 1944 and imposed redemption fine under Rule 25(1) of the CER, 2002 and imposed equivalent penalty under Section 11AC (1)©of the Central Excise Act, 1944. The adjudicating authority further imposed penalty amounting to ₹20,000/- on the appellant under Rule 26 of the Central Excise Rules, 2002.

3. Being aggrieved, the appellant has filed the present appeal before me. The appellant, in his grounds of appeal, has argued that he was not given any opportunity to submit his detailed reply to the show cause notice which violates the principles of natural justice. I find that the appellant has not produced any argument to counter the impugned order on merit.

4. A personal hearing in the matter was held on 11.12.2018 and Shri M. K. Kothari, Consultant appeared for the same and reiterated the grounds of appeal. He informed that the appellant is a co-accuse in the case and the issue of the main party i.e. M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd. has already been heard by me.

5. I have carefully gone through the facts of the case on records, appeal memorandum and submissions made by the appellants at the time of personal hearing. To begin with, I find that there has been a delay occurred in filing the appeal by the appellants. The impugned order was issued on 29.03.2018 and the appellants have filed the appeal on 17.08.2018. Thus, there seems to be a delay of 51 days even after the lapse of the condonation period. However, the appellants have claimed, in Form EA-1, to have received the impugned order on 24.05.2018. Though the appellants have not submitted any documentary evidence in support of their claim that they had received the impugned order on 24.05.2018, still for the sake of justice, I agree to their claim and as per their request letter, along with the appeal memo, for condonation of delay, I condone the same and proceed to discuss the case on merit.

6. To begin with, I find that this case has already been decided by me vide O-I-A number AHM-EXCUS-001-APP-105-2018-19 dated 28.12.2018 where I had remanded the case of M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd. (who was the first accuse in the case) directing the adjudicating authority to decide the case afresh after verifying the reply and related documents of M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd. as per the clause mentioned in the principles of natural justice. Thus, as it is the same issue and the appellant is the General Manager of M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd., my view in this matter also remains the same.

7. Hence, I remand back the case to the adjudicating authority to decide the case afresh after verifying the reply and related documents submitted by the appellant in light of my discussion held in paragraph 6 above. The appellant is also directed to provide all possible assistance to the adjudicating authority in relation to the above mentioned claim.

8. अपीलकर्ता द्वारा दर्ज की गई अपीलो का निपटारा उपरोक्त तरीके से किया जाता है।

8. The appeals filed by the appellant stand disposed off in above terms.

(उमा शंकर)

CENTRAL TAX (Appeals),

AHMEDABAD.

ATTESTED

(S. DUTTA)

SUPERINTENDENT,

CENTRAL TAX (APPEALS), AHMEDABAD.

BY R.P.A.D

To,
Shri Santosh Rajpal, General Manager,
M/s. M. S. Khurana Engg. Ltd.,
2nd Floor, MSK House, Nr. Passport Office,
Panjra Pole, Ambawadi,
Ahmedabad-380 015.

Copy to:-

1. The Chief Commissioner, Central Tax Zone, Ahmedabad.
2. The Commissioner, Central Tax, Ahmedabad-South.
3. The Dy./Asstt. Commissioner, CGST, Division-VI, Ahmedabad-South.
4. The Asstt. Commissioner, (Systems), CGST, Hq., Ahmedabad-South.
5. Guard file.
6. P.A file.